

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/997,389	11/29/2001	Richard S. Polevoy	2340-1-017	5557

23565 7590 02/11/2003

KLAUBER & JACKSON  
411 HACKENSACK AVENUE  
HACKENSACK, NJ 07601

EXAMINER

CONLEY, FREDRICK C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3673

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/997,389

Applicant(s)

POLEVOY ET AL.

Examiner

Fredrick C Conley

Art Unit

3673

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 8-13 and 15-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6, 7, 14 and 18 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_ 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 8, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,076,212 to Feld in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,109,887 to Wakeland.

In reference to claim 1, Feld discloses a snap on protective member 20 adapted to be affixed to a bed frame 10 component having exterior edges, said snap on member comprising an elongated member having outside edges, each of said outside edges having a lip adapted to fit around an exterior edge of the bed frame component (fig 6). Feld fails to disclose a projection formed in said elongated member adjacent to said lip. Wakeland discloses a protective member adapted to be affixed to a bed frame having a plurality of ribs/projections 101. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the plurality of ribs in order to create a frictional fit between the member and the bed frame.

Regarding claim 2, wherein said lip is curved (fig. 6).

Regarding claim 3, wherein said elongate member includes a plurality of ribs 101 extending outwardly from the elongate member to locate an external surface of the elongate member at a predetermined distance away from said component (Wakeland).

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claim 4, wherein said plurality of ribs 101 are adapted to contact said bed frame component to position an external surface a predetermined distance away from said component (Wakeland).

Regarding claim 5, wherein said elongated member is generally L-shaped in cross section so as to be affixed to a side rail of a bed frame (fig. 6)(Feld).

Regarding claim 8, wherein said elongate member is an extruded polyethylene (col. 8 lines 34-38)(Feld).

In reference to claim 15, Feld discloses a method of protecting a bed frame having exterior edges, said method comprising the steps of:

providing an elongate member 20 having outside edges formed as curved lips (fig. 6)

snap fitting the elongate member onto the bed frame 10 component by forcing the curved lips to at least partially surround the exterior edges of the bed frame component to sandwich the exterior edges of the bed frame component between the curved lips. Feld fails to disclose a projection formed in said elongated member adjacent to said lip. Wakeland discloses a protective member adapted to be affixed to a bed frame having a plurality of ribs/projections 101. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the plurality of ribs in order to create a frictional fit between the member and the bed frame.

Regarding claim 16, wherein said step of providing an elongate member comprises providing an extruded polyethylene (col. 8 lines 34-38)(Feld).

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claim 17, wherein said step for providing an elongated member comprises providing a member having a generally L-shaped cross section (fig. 6)(Feld).

Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 4,501,033 to Kessel et al in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,076,212 to Feld, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,109,887 to Wakeland.

In reference to claim 9, Kessel discloses a bed frame assembly comprising side rails, cross members and oriented generally parallel to said side rails. Kessel fails to disclose an elongated snap on member. Feld discloses a snap on protective member 20 adapted to be affixed to a bed frame 10 component having exterior edges, said snap on member comprising an elongated member having outside edges, each of said outside edges having a lip adapted to fit around an exterior edge of the bed frame component (fig 6). It would have been obvious to employ the protective member to the bed frame in order to effect a reduction in the incidence and severity of injury resulting from accidental collision with a L-shaped steel rail of a bed frame. Kesser, as modified, fails to disclose a projection formed in said elongated member adjacent to said lip. Wakeland discloses a protective member adapted to be affixed to a bed frame having a plurality of ribs/projections 101. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the plurality of ribs in order to create a frictional fit between the member and the bed frame.

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claim 10, wherein said elongate member includes at least one rib 101 extending from a surface of the elongated member so as to hold the elongate member at a predetermined distance away from said component (Wakeland).

Regarding claim 11, wherein said at least one rib 101 comprises a plurality of ribs extending outwardly from said surface adapted to contact the bed frame component (Wakeland).

Regarding claim 12, wherein said cross members have an upper surface, and wherein said at least one rib 101 is of predetermined dimensions to form an upper surface of said elongated member substantially even with the upper surface of said cross members.

Regarding claim 13, wherein said at least one rib comprises a plurality of ribs 101 (Wakeland) that extend downwardly from said elongate member so as to contact said bed frame component.

***Allowable Subject Matter***


Claims 6-7, 14, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


Art Unit: 3673

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fredrick C Conley whose telephone number is 308-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on m-th m-fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 308-2978. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 305-7687 for regular communications and 3057687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-2168.

FC  
  
February 5, 2003

  
TERI PHAM LUU  
PRIMARY EXAMINER